HOUSE BILL REPORT HB 2798

As Reported By House Committee On:

Health Care

Title: An act relating to legibility of prescriptions.

Brief Description: Requiring that prescriptions be printed, typed, or computer

generated.

Revised for 1st Substitute: Requiring legible prescriptions.

Sponsors: Representatives Lambert, Campbell, Cody, Parlette, Kagi, Benson and Haigh.

Brief History:

Committee Activity:

Health Care: 2/3/00, 2/4/00 [DPS].

Brief Summary of Substitute Bill

A prescription for legend drugs must be legible. A legible prescription means a prescription or medication order issued by a practitioner that is capable of being read and understood by the pharmacist filling the prescription or the nurse implementing the medication order.

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON HEALTH CARE

Majority Report: The substitute bill be substituted therefor and the substitute bill do pass. Signed by 11 members: Representatives Cody, Democratic Co-Chair; Parlette, Republican Co-Chair; Pflug, Republican Vice Chair; Alexander; Campbell; Conway; Edmonds; Edwards; Mulliken; Pennington and Ruderman.

Minority Report: Do not pass. Signed by 1 member: Representative Schual-Berke, Democratic Vice Chair.

Staff: John Welsh (786-7133).

Background:

House Bill Report - 1 - HB 2798

There are expressed concerns about the legibility of prescriptions issued by some prescribing health care practitioners that can lead to errors in filling prescriptions. These errors can, and have, resulted in risks to the health and safety of patients.

There is nothing in law requiring prescriptions to be legible.

Summary of Substitute Bill:

There is a legislative finding that illegibly written drug orders are factors in medical mistakes, and account for over 100,000 deaths annually in the nation. Data suggests that over 25 percent of medical errors result from mistakes in writing prescriptions.

A prescription for a legend drug must be legible. A legible prescription means a prescription or medical order issued by a practitioner that is capable of being read and understood by the pharmacist filling the prescription or the nurse or other practitioner implementing the medication order.

Substitute Bill Compared to Original Bill: The original bill declared that a practitioner who issues a prescription that is not printed, typed, or computer generated commits an act of unprofessional conduct under the Uniform Disciplinary Act. The substitute bill requires prescriptions to be legible, and provides a definition of legibility.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Not requested.

Effective Date of Substitute Bill: Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

Testimony For: Illegible prescriptions account for a significant portion of medical errors. A practitioner who issues an illegible prescription commits unprofessional conduct and is negligent under the Uniform Disciplinary Act, and the practitioner should be sanctioned appropriately.

Testimony Against: The sanctions for unprofessional conduct are too severe for not hand-printing a prescription. The Legislature should target positively the real cause of medical errors, not simply legibility.

Testified: (In support) Representative Lambert, prime sponsor; Don Williams, Department of Health Board of Pharmacy; Dr. Bill Robertson, Washington Poison Center; and Skip Dreps, Northwest Paralyzed Veterans' Association.

(Opposed) Jeff Larsen, Washington Osteopathic Medical Association; and Dr. Mark Adams and Cliff Webster, Washington State Medical Association.

House Bill Report - 3 - HB 2798